



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

The world has enough anguish, enough broken, bleeding hearts, enough tears. Now let us turn our faces towards the light of a better day. Let us wipe away their tears; let us heal the broken-hearted; let us bring peace upon the earth. Let us live and labor for "peace on earth, good will among men," for the higher, better, holier, civilization in which "they shall beat their swords into plowshares, their spears into pruning-hooks"; when "nation shall not lift up sword against nation, neither shall they learn war any more."

Famines and Militarism.

From a Sermon on the Russian Famine, by Rev. Edward Cummings, at the South Congregational Church, Boston, March 3, 1907.

If there is abundant grain to be had in Siberia, and only a dollar's worth a month is needed to save a life, why, in heaven's name, men exclaim, does not the Russian government stop building battleships and go to buying grain? Why does not it stop raising loans to buy the munitions of war to fight other nations, and go to raising loans to save the lives of these starving millions of its own subjects?

That is a perfectly obvious and reasonable question. Everybody asks it. But there is no answer but the grim fact that nations do become so infatuated with the suicidal lust for naval and military power that the provision of battleships and munitions of war is regarded as the first necessity of national life,—more necessary even than the provision for starving millions.

On the other hand, could anything better illustrate the wicked, deluded, false condition of our national and international code of ethics than this appalling situation in Russia? Think of it! The government of one of the most powerful nations in the world, so obsessed with suicidal notions of military aggrandizement that it pleads the absolute necessity, even in time of famine, of spending millions of money buying ships and guns and preparing for war, when millions of its own people are slowly starving for lack of a dollar's worth of food per month! To such hideous consequences has the brutal and antiquated theory of international relations brought the world to-day.

Who is to blame for these conditions? What is this alleged necessity which the Russian government pleads in extenuation of its conduct to-day? Whence comes this dreadful necessity which compels it to rob starving peasants of their last crust in order that it may build battleships and buy munitions of war? Why, the "necessity" arises solely from the fact that Germany, France, England, Japan and the United States have great navies or armies, against which Russia must be prepared to defend her national honor or integrity or vanity, by an equal array of ships and men.

Yes, you say, but what dire necessity compels Germany, France, England and Japan to tax themselves almost to the limit of human endurance, until they fairly stagger under the burden of their military expenditures? Well, the answer seems almost an insult to human intelligence. The necessity that compels Germany is the fact that France, England, Russia and the others do it. The necessity which compels France is the example of Germany, England, Russia, the United States and Japan. The necessity which compels Eng-

land is the example of France, Germany, Russia and the United States. And so on and on they go, round and round this vicious circle, in a dizzy competitive war dance of mutual destruction. Each one is reluctantly, it is said, compelled to follow the fatal example of all his neighbors; and all the neighbors are compelled to follow helplessly the example of each new increase of armament, each blindly compelled by all, and all by each!

This suicidal policy of mutual distrust would of itself seem bad enough and discreditable enough to civilized nations. But this is not all. The fiendish ingenuity of this infernal machine for national self-destruction is not complete until you have added the motor which keeps it going automatically and makes it more and more destructive every year. That automatic motor is the familiar, sophisticated and self-contradictory theory that the army and navy of each separate nation must be big enough and strong enough to defeat the army and navy of any other one, or perhaps of any other two!

Never in the whole history of the human race has the Father of Lies imposed upon poor, credulous human nature with a more specious, villainous, disastrous, sinful, disgraceful, and self-contradictory falsehood than this, which is still misleading the statesmen of the civilized world to-day. As a theory of international relations, it hasn't a shred of intellectual or moral respectability about it. It does not rise to the level of clear thinking or plain dealing. It is a sophisticated delusion and snare, from which the world must extricate itself, if our civilization is to escape the horrors of self-destruction.

Fortunately for us, this nation has been able in the past to stand aloof from this deadly compact. We have been that happy and envied nation which could boast that it needed no great army or navy. Our prosperous and contented farmers and mechanics have gone singing about their work, because they did not have to carry on their backs a soldier or a sailor, as European laborers have had to do. But somehow we, too, have caught the infatuation of late. "We the people"—we the farmers and mechanics—seem to want to be in the European fashion, and have a nicely uniformed and splendidly equipped soldier or sailor on our backs as we go about our daily work. We have not yet come to the point of taking the bread from mouths of starving millions in order that we may build battleships and forts. But we have come to the point where we rob our children of the schools and colleges and opportunities for industrial training and technical education which are absolutely essential to the continued welfare and happiness and prosperity of this nation, in order that we may build battleships and buy munitions of foreign war, of which there is small prospect and less need.

And we are not robbing our own people and our own children alone by these mad endeavors to join the international war dance and follow the example of less fortunate nations and ape the military fashions of Europe. We are also doing the world a great wrong. Every unnecessary battleship we build out of our abundant wealth not only robs us of a great university or training school for our children: it also sets a new standard of military waste and extravagance, which must be adopted by peoples who are already staggering beneath burdens of militarism which they can scarcely carry.

"We the people" of the United States are in part

responsible for the incredible spectacle of a country like Russia, or China, or Japan spending millions in preparation for wars which ought never and need never come, while millions of their men, women and children are crying for a crust of bread. And yet, with unspeakable levity and criminal disregard for the terrible lessons of the past, Americans are actually proposing to celebrate the birth of this nation by making the international Exposition at Jamestown primarily a great military and naval pageant, glorifying from beginning to end what are called in the program, in ghastly irony, "the splendors of war." I rejoice, as all patriotic Americans must do, that a ringing protest has been sounded from the midst of the Exposition's own advisory board. I rejoice that a score of the bishops of the Episcopal Church have earnestly endorsed this protest against a program which one of their number has rightly called "unworthy of a Christian nation." From every pulpit and every newspaper in the land should come a strong Amen! With what power, in this Longfellow centennial time, sound in our ears these lines of the great poet in his poem on the Springfield Arsenal:

"Were half the power that fills the earth with terror,
Were half the wealth bestowed on camps and courts,
Given to redeem the human mind from error,
There were no need of arsenals or forts."

True, "we the people" have done something to prepare the way for the mitigation of this international curse, by favoring the establishment of the Hague tribunal and an international parliament. But we have not done half enough. "We the people" have not made our national representatives feel half enough that this problem of international justice and international disarmament must be solved, in the interest of ourselves, of our children, of our country, of humanity. We have not demanded this in the name of reason, of common sense, of morality, of religion, as the first duty of our statesmen to their country and to the world, and the first duty of our country to the great family of sister nations.

For our own sakes, and for the sake of humanity, we must do everything in our power as individuals and as a nation to establish a supreme court of international justice, so that right, and not might, shall prevail. Then disarmament can and will follow, and an international police force will replace the hostile armies and navies of to-day. Happily we have, almost unconsciously, been trying a typical experiment, which proves to ourselves and to the world what can be done, and ought to be done, and must be done in this matter. For, as the President of Harvard University recently pointed out, the United States and Canada have avoided all the waste and dangers of international armaments by a very simple agreement, which has lasted for ninety years. This is the agreement made after the war of 1812, restricting the armaments of the United States and Canada on the Great Lakes to the insignificant little gun-boats used for police duty. God grant that this experiment may be prophetic of what is coming to all the great nations of the world!

Meanwhile let us do our duty for the starving millions, who cannot wait,—and whose lives can be bought at the rate of five dollars each! For the love of God, buy all you can! Unhappily, Russia is not the only famine-stricken country in the great family of nations. I do

not know which country is in the worst plight, Russia or China. These are our neighbors, our sister nations in God's family of nations. Help and sympathy is their just due. We must not shirk our duty or neglect our opportunity. They are our near neighbors. Money can be telegraphed and made available at once. They are our powerful neighbors. Both Russia and China are giant nations waking from sleep and passing through the throes of a revolution which will give them a new and more important role in international history. To come generously to their rescue and bind them to us now with bonds of sympathy and gratitude will be more help and protection to our nation in the future than battleships, torpedo boats and submarines. I wish our Congress were wise enough to vote the price of a battleship for the relief of both Russia and China — and cut down our prospective navy by that amount. Such a generous and courageous example might be the beginning of a new epoch of international justice, goodwill and disarmament.

The Cost of War.

(Continued.)

It is impossible to secure statistics which will enable one to estimate closely the aggregate money cost of the many "little" wars, of which the nineteenth century was so full, the South and Central American conflicts, the South African Colonial wars, the Opium wars, the Egyptian, Soudan, Congo, Madagascar, Hawaiian, Samoan, East Indian, Thibet, West Indian and others, reference to which has been made above. But the sums consumed in these wars have certainly mounted up into the billions. In six years of these "little" wars — the Chitral (1895), the Ashanti (1895 to 1896), the Mashonaland and Matabeleland (1896 to 1897), the Soudan (1896 to 1899), North-west India (1897 to 1898), etc. — Great Britain used up \$50,000,000. And Great Britain alone had more than eighty of these wars during the century, or an average of nearly one a year for the whole hundred years. On these she must have spent not much less than \$1,000,000,000. If we should add to this sum what France, Italy, Germany, Russia, Austria, Spain, Portugal, Holland, Belgium and Denmark have spent in similar enterprises, what the South and Central-American wars have consumed, and the expenses of the other expeditions and conflicts of this kind in different parts of the world, the sum total would be not less than three billions of dollars, and probably much nearer five billions.

Forty thousand millions of dollars is a sum so vast that the mention of it leaves only a confused impression upon the mind; but that is about what the nations have paid in solid cash in *a single century* for the folly and wickedness of their quarrels and fightings, their mutual injustices and slaughters. But this is not by any means the whole of the huge "butcher's bill," as we shall see.

COST IN DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY.

If it is difficult to determine with even approximate accuracy the cost of war in direct money outlay, it is still harder to ascertain the waste which it occasions through immediate destruction of property. Here almost no figures are available. General Sherman estimated that property to the amount of at least three hundred millions